

SEEDS Second Public Workshop

Wrap Up and Response to Feedback

June 19, 2002

Presented By
Vanessa Griffin

SEEDS Formulation Team

*Catherine Corlan, Kathy Fontaine, Vanessa Griffin, Gail McConaughy, Ken McDonald,
Karen Moe, H. Ramapriyan, Matt Schwaller, Richard Ullman, Stephen Wharton*

- ❑ ***The Formulation Team's objectives for the second public workshop were to:***
 - *Continue our dialog with the data provider and user community regarding progress on SEEDS Formulation*
 - *Obtain best practices for developing community-based processes to guide the development and validation of SEEDS:*
 - *protocols and standards*
 - *Cost Models*
 - *Interfaces*
 - *Technology Infusion., and*
 - *Accountability*
 - *Began garnering that “deep” involvement by our user community*
 - *Present results of phase one studies that gathered input from community along with notional recommendations in the areas listed above.*
 - *Begin formulating, with the community, the study findings to will be integrated into the SEEDS formulation recommendations.*

□ *Feedback from the workshop attendees:*

- *This workshop was better organized and more beneficial than the first one.*
 - *However;*
 - *Parallel breakouts were a barrier to full participation*
 - *Plenary sessions on Study Team status could have been shortened or eliminated with results covered in breakouts.*
- *Generally, the group felt that the right topics were presented and that the information about SEEDS was very beneficial, with a “good” mix of presentations and breakout session”.*
 - *Though:*
 - *Many of you expressed concern about the lack of cross-study integration.*
 - *Some thought the breakouts were at too technical a level*
 - *Breakout sessions were too large and sometimes unfocused*
- *Best point was the opportunity for discussions among peers on the various SEEDS issues*
- *Things that could have been improved*
 - *Less focus on community engagement*
 - *Level of emphasis on technology infusion and lack of specificity*

□ *Workshop attendees expressed a number of concerns regarding SEEDS formulation:*

- *Lack of an overarching Enterprise strategy for data and information management. Townshend - “SEEDS is more than interfaces and standards”.*
- *Apparent, lack of integration among the various teams. Risk of stove-piped recommendations.*
- *Surveys done by study teams were not scientific and lacked proper controls*
 - *may not be valid, verifiable, or truly representative.*
- *Lack of end-user input into the SEEDS process.*

- ❑ *Immediate work is to process and assimilate the various findings and recommendations and other excellent suggestions from this workshop.*
- ❑ *Over the next few months, the Formulation Study Teams will be finalizing their efforts and preparing recommendations for SEEDS execution*
- ❑ *Starting in October the FT will begin the important work of integrating the study team results into an comprehensive set of recommendations to the ESE.*
 - *Part of this process will involve joint study team meetings*
- ❑ *We will continue our dialog with the general user community through workshops*
 - *Next workshop tentatively planned for the November/December time frame*
 - *The FT will consider many of the suggestions from the community engagement breakouts including holding targeted focus groups at various science meetings.*

- ❑ *Overarching Enterprise strategy for data and information management and how SEEDS fits into the overall picture*
- ❑ *Interagency partnerships and collaboration opportunities for SEEDS USGS, NOAA, USDA, DOE, NSF*
- ❑ *NASA Data Buy projects, including LCDM and how they fit in to SEEDS Vision*
- ❑ *Knowledge-Based Systems, including examples from industry*
- ❑ *Focus on SEEDS integration and implementation*
- ❑ *Return on Investment in SEEDS – who does SEEDS benefit?*